

Copper-Catalyzed *gem*-Difluoroolefination of Diazo Compounds with TMSCF₃ via C–F Bond Cleavage

Mingyou Hu, Zhengbiao He, Bing Gao, Lingchun Li, Chuanfa Ni, and Jinbo Hu*

Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Ling-Ling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A novel Cu-catalyzed *gem*-difluoroolefination of diazo compounds is described. This transformation starts from readily available $TMSCF_3$ and diazo compounds, via trifluoromethylation followed by C–F bond cleavage, to afford the desired 1,1-difluoroalkene products.

F luorine-containing organic molecules often possess elevated reactivity, lipophilicity, and bioactivity compared to their nonfluorinated counterparts.¹ For instance, 1,1-difluoroalkenes are structurally unique organofluorine compounds, which are used as enzyme inhibitors via an addition– elimination mechanism.² The *gem*-difluorovinyl functionality is also considered as a bioisostere of the carbonyl group in drug design.³ The *gem*-difluorovinyl moiety could be converted to other useful fluorinated functionalities such as trifluoromethyl,⁴ difluoromethyl,³ monofluoroalkenyl,⁵ and difluoromethylenyl groups.⁶ Direct C=CF₂ double bond construction from aldehydes and ketones,^{5,7} pyrolysis of difluoromethylenecontaining compounds,⁸ and cross-coupling with *gem*-difluorovinyl building blocks^{4b,9} are conventional methods for the synthesis of 1,1-difluoroalkenes.

Recently, transition-metal-catalyzed fluorination,10 fluoroalkylation,¹¹ and fluoroarylation¹² have attracted much attention in synthetic organic chemistry, owing to the mild reaction conditions and excellent functional-group tolerance in these transition-metal-assisted reactions. However, the transitionmetal-mediated C=CF₂ double bond formation reaction still remains a challenging task. Although the olefin metathesis reaction proved to be a powerful approach for olefin synthesis,¹³ its application in the synthesis of fluorinated olefins has been less successful.¹⁴ Grubbs and co-workers attempted the reaction between 1,1-difluoroethene and a ruthenium carbene catalyst, and they found that only a small amount of β , β -difluorostyrene was formed under the stoichiometric reaction conditions.¹⁵ On the other hand, diazo compounds, an important type of carbene precursors, are widely used in olefination reactions;¹⁶ however, there is still a lack of transition-metal-catalyzed efficient gem-difluoroolefination of diazo compounds.¹⁷

Trifluoromethylcopper (CuCF₃), which is often pregenerated prior to the desired reactions, is a powerful trifluoromethylating agent.¹⁸ Recently, much effort has been devoted to developing CuCF₃-mediated trifluoromethylations with the use of a substoichiometric amount of copper.¹⁹ Previously, we reported a water-promoted and copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of α -diazo esters using pregenerated "CuCF₃".²⁰ Under the strictly anhydrous conditions, 1,1-difluoroalkenes could be obtained in moderate yields,²⁰ which indicates that the 2,2,2-trifluoroethylcopper intermediate (**A**) could undergo β -fluoride elimination (Scheme 1, R² = COOR).²¹ Based on this

Scheme 1. Proposed Cu-Catalyzed gem-Difluoroolefination of α -Diazo Esters



finding, we envisaged that a substoichiometric amount of copper might be able to catalyze the *gem*-difluoroolefination of diazo compounds (Scheme 1). However, we quickly realized that two issues have to be considered before a catalytic version of Cu-assisted *gem*-difluoroolefination can be achieved: (a) how to enable 1 to efficiently react with the in situ generated "CuCF₃" to give A in the presence of only a catalytic amount of Cu(I) (Scheme 1, step 1);²⁰ and (b) how to facilitate an efficient β -fluoride elimination of A to regenerate the CuF catalyst (Scheme 1, step 2).^{21b}

Our investigation started with addressing the first issue. Previously, an excess amount of water or CuI was added to enhance the reactivity of pregenerated "CuCF₃" to efficiently react with $1.^{20}$ However, under the catalytic reaction conditions where "CuCF₃" was in situ generated from CuI/CsF/TMSCF₃ (Scheme 1, step 1), the addition of water jeopardized the desired reaction by protonating the in situ generated "CF₃⁻⁷" from TMSCF₃/CsF. We also examined the possibility of using extra CuI to promote the reaction between 1 and in situ generated "CuCF₃". When we conducted Cu-catalyzed *gem*-difluoroolefination with ethyl phenyldiazoacetate (1a) as a model substrate using 20 mol % of CuI and 10 mol % of CsF,²² to our surprise and disappointment, no desired product 2a was detected, and trifluoromethylated product 2a' was obtained in 7% yield (eq 1a). After further optimization of the reaction

Received: September 26, 2013 Published: October 30, 2013



parameters (using 1,4-dioxane/NMP as solvent), 2a was formed in only 33% yield (eq 1b, for more detailed information, see SI). Thereafter, we envisaged another plausible approach to address the first issue, namely, using a more reactive diazo compound to react with "CuCF₃". It is well-known that diaryl diazomethanes possess higher reactivity than α -diazo esters.² When diphenyl diazomethane (3a) was utilized as a substrate and subjected to the pregenerated CuCF₃ without adding any promoter (water or CuI), we were delighted to find that 1,1difluoroalkene 4a was obtained in 63% yield after 20 h without the formation of any trifluoromethylated product 4a' (eq 2a). More interestingly, when 3a was subject to the standard waterpromoted trifluoromethylation reaction conditions (44 equiv of water were added),²⁰ 3a was consumed in 5 min and 4a was obtained in 63% yield, with the trifluoromethylation product 4a' being formed in only 18% yield (eq 2b). These results indicate that 3a can directly react with unactivated "CuCF₃" (from CuI/CsF/TMSCF₃), and the resulting 2,2,2-trifluoroethylcopper intermediate A (Scheme 1) readily undergoes β fluoride elimination to afford 1,1-difluoroalkene 4a (even in the presence of an excess amount of water!), which nicely address the aforementioned issues.

Encouraged by these results, we soon examined the catalytic version of the reaction between 3a and TMSCF₃ (Table 1). To our delight, when 5 mol % of CuI and 5 mol % of CsF were applied, 3a readily underwent gem-difluoroolefination to afford 4a in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 1). When 1,4-dioxane was used as solvent, the reaction was sluggish (entry 2). Further examination revealed that the use of a mixed solvent system of 1,4-dioxane and NMP (entries 1, 3-8) was better than solely using 1,4-dioxane (entry 2) or NMP (entry 9), and the optimal ratio of 1,4-dioxane to NMP was found to be 10:1. When lowering the loading of CsF to 2.5 mol %, the yield decreased sharply (entry 10). However, the yield was also slightly decreased when more CsF (10 mol %) was used (entry 11). Other Lewis base activators for TMSCF₃, such as KF, tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate (TBAT), tetramethylammonium fluoride (TMAF), and ^tBuOK, were found to be inferior to CsF (entries 12-15). CuCl was not as effective as CuI when used to promote the gem-difluoroolefination reaction (entry 16). The use of other solvents, such as THF/ NMP, DCM/NMP, and toluene/NMP, resulted in lower yields (entries 17-19). It should be noted that, in the absence of either a Cu(I) catalyst or CsF, the reaction was significantly inhibited (entries 20-21).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 1), we further examined the substrate scope and limitation of this reaction. The reactions with various diaryl diazomethanes proceeded smoothly to give 1,1-difluoroalkenes in moderate to excellent yields (Table 2). The substrates with electron-donating substituents on the arenes (Table 2, 4d-41)

Table 1. Survey of Reaction Conditions

	N ₂ + TMSC Ph Ph 2 equi 3a	Cul (5 mol%), initiator (5 mol%) v rt, 10 h	Ph Ph 4a
entry	initiator	solvent	yield $(\%)^a$
1	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	85
2	CsF	1,4-dioxane	21
3	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (20:1)	62
4	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (15:1)	82
5	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (8:1)	80
6	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (5:1)	70
7	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (2:1)	64
8	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (1:1)	59
9	CsF	NMP	2
10	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	10^{b}
11	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	77 ^c
12	KF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	69
13	TBAT	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	47
14	TMAF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	8
15	^t BuOK	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	50
16	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	64^d
17	CsF	THF/NMP (10:1)	64
18	CsF	DCM/NMP (10:1)	34
19	CsF	toluene/NMP (10:1)	61
20	CsF	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	<5% ^e
21	none	1,4-dioxane/NMP (10:1)	0

^{*a*}The reactions were carried out on 1 mmol scale. The yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR with PhCF₃ as an internal standard. ^{*b*}2.5 mol % of CsF was used. ^{*c*}10 mol % of CsF was used. ^{*d*}5 mol % of CuCl was used as the catalyst. ^{*c*}Without a Cu-catalyst.

generally gave lower yields. When the reaction temperature was decreased, the reactions with 3e, 3f, and 3i proceeded smoothly to give corresponding products 4e, 4f, and 4i, respectively, in higher yields. However, in the case of bis(4-dimethylamino)phenyl diazomethane (31), no desired product 41 was obtained. This might be attributed to the strong electron-donating amino group making the carbenic carbon more electron-rich through $p-\pi$ conjugation and thus difficult to undergo migratory insertion into the Cu-CF₃ single bond.²⁴ On the contrary, those with electron-withdrawing substituents on the arenes generally gave higher yields (Table 2, 4m-4x). It is noteworthy that no aromatic trifluoromethylation occurred in the reactions with substrates bearing a F, Cl, or Br substituent (Table 2, 4m-4v). The reaction was found to be not sensitive to the ortho-, meta-, or para-substituents in the aromatic rings. Note that this reaction represents arguably the most general gem-difluoroolefination method for the synthesis of 2,2-diaryl-1,1-difluoroolefins.^{5,7–9} When alkyl-substituted diazo compound 3y was used, the product yield was significantly lower, probably due to the side reactions of the diazo compounds such as self-condensation and 1,2-H shifts.²⁵

When TMSCF₂CF₃ was employed alternatively as a fluoroalkylating reagent, 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoro-3,3-diphenylpropene (**5a**) was obtained in 39% yield (eq 3). The relatively lower yield of **5a** is probably due to the fact that the in situ generated pentafluoroethyl anion (CF₃CF₂⁻) readily undergoes β -fluoride elimination to give tetrafluoroethene (CF₂==CF₂, detected by ¹⁹F NMR and GC-MS in this case). However, when pregenerated CuCF₂CF₃ was used, **5a** could be obtained in 70% yield (eq 4).

 Table 2. Cu-Catalyzed gem-Difluoroolefination of Diazo

 Compound 3



^{*a*}All reactions were performed by using 1.0 mmol of **3**, 0.05 mmol of CuI and CsF unless otherwise noted. The yields referred to isolated yields. ^{*b*}The reaction was performed at the melting point of the mixed solvent (6 °C). ^{*c*}The yield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR with PhCF₃ as an internal standard. ^{*d*}The reaction was performed on 2.0 mmol scale with 0.1 mmol of CuI and CsF.



Based on the aforementioned results, we propose a plausible mechanism for the Cu-catalyzed *gem*-difluoroolefination of diazo compounds (Scheme 2). TMSCF₃ first reacts with CuI and CsF to generate CuCF₃, and the latter species reacts with diazo compound **3** to form Cu-carbene intermediate **B**. Intermediate **B** undergoes carbene migratory insertion into the Cu-CF₃ single bond to give intermediate **A**.²⁰ Then the

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism of Cu-Catalyzed gem-Difluoroolefintion of Diazo Compounds

Communication



species **A** undergoes a fast β -fluoride elimination to give *gem*difluoroolefin product 4 and CuF, and CuF further reacts with TMSCF₃ to regenerate CuCF₃ (Scheme 2).

In summary, we have developed a novel Cu-catalyzed gemdifluoroolefination of diazo compounds. This new synthetic protocol enables the efficient preparation of a variety of structurally diverse 1,1-difluoroalkenes, which promises to find applications in medicinal chemistry and materials science related fields. It was found that, even without the activation by water or CuI,²⁰ the "CuCF₃" species (generated from CuI/ CsF/TMSCF₃) could still directly react with diaryl diazomethanes. Furthermore, the resulting 1,1-diaryl-2,2,2-trifluoroethylcopper intermediate A underwent facile β -fluoride elimination to give 1,1-difluoroalkene. Not only do these two important factors facilitate an efficient Cu(I)-catalyzed gemdifluoroolefination process, they also provide intriguing new insights into the unique reaction between "CuCF3" and diazo compounds.²⁰ Moreover, this olefination process provides a proof of concept for the use of β -fluoride (or other leaving groups) elimination to realize a catalytic olefination via a Cucarbene intermediate.²⁶ Further exploration of this new synthetic protocol is currently underway in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization for all new compounds. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB821600, 2012CB215500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21372246, 20825209), and MSD China R&D Postdoc Fellowship (M.H.). We thank Dr. Teruo Umemoto (Visiting Professor at SIOC) for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008. (b) Tressaud, A.; Haufe, G. Fluorine and Health: Molecular Imaging, Biomedical Materials and Pharmaceuticals; Elsevier: Oxford, 2008. (c) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, 2006.

(2) (a) Berry, C. N.; Lassalle, G.; Lunven, C.; Altenburger, J. M.; Guilbert, F.; Lalé, A.; Hérault, J.-P.; Lecoffre, C.; Pfersdorff, C.; Herbert, J.-M.; O'Connor, S. E. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2002, 303, 1189. (b) Altenburger, J.-M.; Lassalle, G. Y.; Matrougui, M.; Galtier, D.; Jetha, J.-C.; Bocskei, Z.; Berry, C. N.; Lunven, C.; Lorrain, J.; Herault, J.-P.; Schaeffer, P.; O'Connor, S. E.; Herbertb, J.-M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 1713. (c) Rogawski, M. A. Epilepsy Res. 2006, 69, 273. (d) Moore, W. R.; Schatzman, G. L.; Jarvi, E. T.; Gross, R. S.; McCarthy, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 360.

(3) Motherwell, W. B.; Tozer, M. J.; Ross, B. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1437.

(4) (a) Miller, W. T., Jr.; Burnard, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 7367. (b) Nguyen, B. V.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7758.

(5) Hayashi, S.-I.; Nakai, T.; Ishikawa, N.; Burton, D. J.; Naae, D. G.; Kesling, H. S. *Chem. Lett.* **1979**, 983.

(6) (a) Lazerte, J. D.; Koshar, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 910.
(b) Suda, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 2555.

(7) (a) Fuqua, S. A.; Duncan, W. G.; Silverstein, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 23, 1461. (b) Fuqua, S. A.; Duncan, W. G.; Silverstein, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 1027. (c) Fuqua, S. A.; Duncan, W. G.; Silverstein, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2543. (d) Naae, D. G.; Burton, D. J. Synth. Commun. 1973, 3, 197. (e) Burton, D. J.; Greenlimb, P. E. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2797. (f) Nowak, I.; Robins, M. J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 721. (g) Thomoson, C. S.; Martinez, H.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr. J. Fluorine Chem. 2013, 150, 53. (h) Zheng, J.; Cai, J.; Lin, J.-H.; Guo, Y.; Xiao, J.-C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7513. (i) Obayashi, M.; Ito, E.; Matsui, K.; Kondo, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2323. (j) Edwards, M. L.; Stemerick, D. M.; Jarvi, E. T.; Matthews, D. P.; McCarthy, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5571.

(8) (a) Sabol, J. S.; McCarthy, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3101.
(b) Zhao, Y.; Huang, W.; Zhu, L.; Hu, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1444.
(c) Archibald, T. G.; Baum, K. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3562.
(d) Ocampo, R.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Paredes, R. J. Fluorine Chem. 1998, 88, 31.

(9) (a) Raghavanpillai, A.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 194.
(b) Choi, J. H.; Jeong, I. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 952. (c) Han, S. Y.; Jeong, I. H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5518. (d) Han, S. Y.; Lee, H. Y.; Jeon, J. H.; Jeong, I. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 1833. (e) Pschierer, J.; Peschek, N.; Plenio, H. Green Chem. 2010, 12, 636.

(10) For selective examples of recently reported transition-metalcatalyzed fluorination, see: (a) Hull, K. L.; Anani, W. Q.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7134. (b) Watson, D. A.; Su, M.; Teverovskiy, G.; Zhang, Y.; Garda-Fortanet, J.; Kinzel, T.; Buchwald, S. L. Science 2009, 325, 1661. (c) Wang, X.; Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7520. (d) Tang, P.; Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12150. (e) Liu, W.; Huang, X.; Cheng, M.-J.; Nielsen, R. J.; Goddard, W. A.; Groves, J. T. Science 2012, 337, 1322. (f) Truong, T.; Klimovica, K.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9342.

(11) For selective examples of recently reported transition-metalcatalyzed fluoroalkylations, see: (a) Oishi, M.; Kondo, H.; Amii, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 1909. (b) Cho, E. J.; Senecal, T. D.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Watson, D. A.; Buchwald, S. L. *Science* **2010**, 328, 1679. (c) Wang, X.; Truesdale, L.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 3648. (d) Seo, S.; Taylor, J. B.; Greaney, M. F. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, 49, 6385.

(12) For selective examples of recently reported transition-metalcatalyzed fluoroarylations, see: (a) Lafrance, M.; Rowley, C.; Woo, T. K.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8754. (b) Do, H.-Q.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1128. (c) Nakao, Y.; Kashihara, N.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Hiyama, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16170. (d) Zhang, X.; Fan, S.; He, C.-Y.; Wan, X.; Min, Q.-Q.; Yang, J.; Jiang, Z.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4506. (e) Zhao, X.; Wu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 132, 3296.

(13) Grubbs, R. H., Ed. Handbook of Metathesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003.

(14) (a) Huang, D.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3185. (b) Huang, D.; Koren, P. R.; Folting, K.; Davidson, E. R.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8916. (c) Hughes, R. P.; Laritchev, R. B.; Yuan, J.; Golen, J. A.; Rucker, A. N.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15020. (d) Bourgeois, C. J.; Hughes, R. P.; Yuan, J.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2908. (e) Choi, J.; Wang, D. Y.; Kundu, S.; Choliy, Y.; Emge, T. J.; Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Goldman, A. S. Science 2011, 332, 1545.

(15) Trnka, T. M.; Day, M. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3441.

(16) (a) Trahanovsky, W. S.; Robbins, M. D.; Smick, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2086. (b) Bushby, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 2513. (c) Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. In Alkene Synthesis Through Transition Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N-Tosylhydrazones. In Stereoselective Alkene Synthesis; Wang, J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2012.

(17) CuCl-catalyzed gem-difluoroolefination of hydrazones of aromatic aldehydes with CF_2Br_2 was shown to be inefficient (in 20–36% yields). See: Nenajdenko, V. G.; Varseev, G. N.; Korotchenko, V. N.; Shastin, A. V.; Balenkova, E. S. J. Fluorine Chem. **2003**, 124, 115.

(18) For typical CuCF₃ reagent preparation methods, see:
(a) Wiemers, D. M.; Burton, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 832.
(b) Urata, H.; Fuchikami, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 91.
(c) Morimoto, H.; Tsubogo, T.; Litvinas, N. D.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3793. (d) Zanardi, A.; Novikov, M. A.; Martin, E.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Grushin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20901.

(19) (a) Chen, Q.-Y.; Wu, S.-W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 705. (b) See ref 11a. (c) Weng, Z.; Lee, R.; Jia, W.; Yuan, Y.; Wang, W.; Feng, X.; Huang, K.-W. Organometallics **2011**, *34*, 2833.

(20) Hu, M.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257.

(21) β -Fluorine-containing anions easily undergo fluorine elimination to afford alkenes. For reviews, see: (a) Uneyama, K.; Katagiri, T.; Amii, H. Acc. Chem. Res. **2008**, 41, 817. (b) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. Chem. Rev. **2009**, 109, 2119.

(22) The reason for the ratio CuI/CsF = 2/1 is that 1 equiv of CuI is expected to prepare "CuCF₃", and the other equivalent is to activate "CuCF₃" to form highly reactive "CuCF₃".

(23) (a) Bug, T.; Hartnagel, M.; Schlierf, C.; Mayr, H. Chem-Eur. J. **2003**, 9, 4068. (b) Davies, H. M.; Beckwith, R. E. J. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 2861.

(24) (a) Dubinina, G. G.; Furutachi, H.; Vicic, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8600. (b) Dubinina, G. G.; Ogikubo, J.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6233.

(25) (a) Nickon, A. Acc. Chem. Res. **1993**, 26, 84. (b) Celebi, S.; Leyva, S.; Modarelli, D. A.; Platz, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 8613.

(26) For reviews on Cu-catalyzed transformation via Cu-carbene intermediate, see: (a) Shao, Z.; Zhang, H. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 560. (b) Liu, Z.; Wang, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 10024. (c) Xia, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. ACS Catal. **2013**, *3*, 2586.